Disclaimer: We kindly ask to acknowledge that due to the diverse and heterogeneous nature of the questions and the dynamic pandemic situation some of the information might be incomplete or only correct for the time being. Thus, please consider the date with the below information. All available information was provided by a country representative from the PHIRI network during or in connection to the respective meeting.

## Date: 29.08.2022 Updated 06.09.2022

## Table 1: Country responses: Cost-effectiveness of vaccines and NPIs

Country         Topic: Cost-effectiveness of vaccines and NPIs           • What kind of research are you developing in terms of the cost-evectiveness of vaccines and non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) in your country?           • In the past since start of the pandemic, has existing evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions already factored into political decision-making (if so, please provide details)? For future strategies on NPIs in your country, is evidence on cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in rour country in on published or ongoing studies known to GOG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: <u>https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022_Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Test.pdf</u> ).           Existing evidence also from other counties on cost-effectiveness of intervaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine.           Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian Austrian Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness of does of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of adge on site of these groups if desired. This is also currently recommended only to certain the decision tores, bend avalable to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dos		
<ul> <li>What kind of research are you developing in terms of the cost-evectiveness of vaccines and non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) in your country?</li> <li>In the past since start of the pandemic, has existing evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions already factored into political decision-making (if so, please provide details)? For future strategies on NPIs in your country, is evidence on cost-effectiveness for seven to play a role (if so, please provide details)? For future strategies on NPIs in your country, is evidence on cost-effectiveness for SovPio 19 vaccines or NPIs in Austria on national level. In the municipality to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?</li> <li>Austria</li> <li>Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-effectiveness of the city's POR testing strategy (link in German: https://news.uko.autnews/wien/2022_Kosten-Nutzenana/se-POR-Test.pdf).</li> <li>Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programme, in actored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation regrammes in actored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian ColUD-19 immunisation scheme including a thirdbooster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is current ± 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian ColUD-19 immunisation committe is available at this link https://www.socialministerium.au/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona-Schutzimptung/Corona</li></ul>	Country	Topic: Cost-effectiveness of vaccines and NPIs
<ul> <li>your country?</li> <li>In the past since start of the pandemic, has existing evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions already factored into political decision-making (if so, please provide details)?</li> <li>Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen to play a role (if so, please provide details)?</li> <li>Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?</li> <li>Austria</li> <li>There are currently no published or ongoing studies known to GOG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chember of Labour on the cost-effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: https://nws.wko.at/news/wien/2022. Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Testpdf). Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programmes in general have a strategy and the data inside to all residents. A fourt Mose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended for lot vaccine and vaviable to all residents also outside of these groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently vable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Commitae is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.at/Cor</li></ul>	-	What kind of research are you developing in terms of the cost-evectiveness of vaccines and non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) in
<ul> <li>In the past since start of the pandemic, has existing evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions already factored into political decision-making (if so, please provide details)? For future strategies on NPIs in your country, is evidence on cost-effectiveness for seen to play a role (if so, please provide details)?</li> <li>Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?</li> <li>Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to GOG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness of the city's PCF testing strategy talkes known to GOG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness of the city's PCF testing strategy (link in German: https://news.ko.at/news/wie/2022 Kosten-Nutzenandyse-PCF-Test.ndf).</li> <li>Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine.</li> <li>Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of additional insk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.av/Corona/Corona-SchutzimpfungFach</li></ul>		your country?
making (if so, please provide details)? For future strategies on NPIs in your country, is evidence on cost-effectiveness forseen to play a role (if so, please provide details)?           • Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?           Austria         There are currently no published or ongoing studies known to GOG analysising the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022_Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Test.pdf). Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into Introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programmes. In general has factored into Introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programmes, in a National Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and prevence/coal vaccine.           Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended poin regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.           Belgium         • No inf		In the past since start of the pandemic, has existing evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions already factored into political decision-
<ul> <li>role (if so, please provide details)?</li> <li>Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?</li> <li>Austria</li> <li>There are currently no published or ongoing studies known to GOG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in Geman: https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022. Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-FCR-test.pd). Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine.</li> <li>Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of actional tisk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 108/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerum.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-Schutzingfung/Corola-Schutzingfung/Corola-Schutzingfung/Corola-Schutzingfung/Corola-Schutzingfung/Corola-Schutzingfung/Corola-Schutzing in Edision in Belgiu</li></ul>		making (if so, please provide details)? For future strategies on NPIs in your country, is evidence on cost-effectiveness forseen to play a
Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?     Austria     There are currently no published or ongoing studies known to GOG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in     Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-     effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in Geman: https://news.wko.at/news/wlen/2022. Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Test.pdf).     Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-     19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health     Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and     pneumococcal vaccine.     Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose)     is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain     groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional     risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine     doses still exit.     The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation formationen.html (in German Hanguage).     No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium     No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgiu		role (if so, please provide details)?
Austria         There are currently no published or ongoing studies known to GG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost- effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022. Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Test.pdf).           Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID- 19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine.           Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.           Belgium         • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.         • The decision to (re-)vaccine te particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on ad		• Will it be sustainable economically to keep vaccination to the entire population? Will there be priority groups as forseen in your country?
Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-       effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: <a href="https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022">https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022</a> Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Test.pdf).         Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-          19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health          Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and       pneumococccal vaccine.         Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose)          is factors), but available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain       droses still exist.          The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link          https://www.socialministerium au/Corona/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).         eligium       • No information ould be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of DOVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.         • The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in       time. This is what LINK-VACC is wo	Austria	There are currently no published or ongoing studies known to GÖG analysing the dimension of cost-effectiveness for COVID-19 vaccines or NPIs in
effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: <a href="https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022">https://news/wien/2022</a> . Kosten-Nutzenana/se-PCR-Test.pdf).         Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health         Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and         pneumococcal vaccine.         Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose)         is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain         groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional         risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine         doses still exist.       The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link         https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-Schutzimpfung/-Fachinformationen.html (in German language).         • No information out due found regarding priorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process to additional booster doses.		Austria on national level. In the municipality of Vienna, there is one recent local analysis commissioned by the Vienna Chamber of Labour on the cost-
<ul> <li>Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine.</li> <li>Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).</li> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process or additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccination additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccination abodies to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against (severe) disease. For groups</li></ul>		effectiveness of the city's PCR testing strategy (link in German: https://news.wko.at/news/wien/2022_Kosten-Nutzenanalyse-PCR-Test.pdf).
<ul> <li>19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine.         <ul> <li>Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose)             is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain             groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional             risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine             doses still exist.             The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link             https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Belgium         <ul> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in             time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still             offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.             LINK-VACC to ot in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the cu</li></ul></li></ul>		Existing evidence also from other countries on cost-effectiveness of immunisation programmes in general has factored into introducing the AT COVID-
Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and pneumococcal vaccine. Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist. The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.socialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language). Belgium  • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium. • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium. • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination, etc. on the current protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc. on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster dose. • LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine satety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <u>https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccinate on surveillance</u> • Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to		19 vaccine strategy to an extent, i.e. supporting the start of the Austrian COVID-19 immunisation programme, the Austrian National Public Health
<ul> <li>pneumococcal vaccine.</li> <li>Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link <a href="https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html">https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html</a> (in German language).</li> <li>Belgium</li> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccinate on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccinate-surveillance</li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination shull protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable</li></ul>		Institute carried out a literature review of cost-effectiveness studies on other vaccinations on behalf of the MoH, namely influenza, TBE and
<ul> <li>Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose) is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).</li> <li>Belgium</li> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC is post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-register-scovid-19-vaccine-surveillance</li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying</li></ul>		pneumococcal vaccine.
<ul> <li>is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).</li> <li>Belgium • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillancee</li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data ind</li></ul>		Regarding priorisation, at the moment a full course of COVID-19 immunisation (following the 2+1 immunisation scheme including a third/booster dose)
groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.         The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link <a href="https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Fachinformationen.html">https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Fachinformationen.html</a> (in German language).         Belgium       • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.         • The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.         - LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance         - Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision		is recommended for and available to all residents. A fourth dose/second booster dose of available vaccines is currently recommended only to certain
<ul> <li>risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine doses still exist.</li> <li>The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link <a href="https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Fachinformationen.html">https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Fachinformationen.html</a> (in German language).</li> <li>Belgium</li> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <a href="https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance">https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</a></li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a cert</li></ul>		groups (immunocompromised people regardless of age, other risk groups above the age of 12, and adults above the age of 60 regardless of additional
doses still exist.         The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Fachinformationen.html (in German language).         Belgium       • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.         • The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.         - LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <a href="https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance">https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</a> e Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately People with lowered immunity         - The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an addition		risk factors), but available to all residents also outside of these groups if desired. This is also currently economically viable, as many surplus vaccine
The current = 10/8/22 A1 immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).         Belgium       • No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.         • The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.         - LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance         - Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately         People with lowered immunity       - The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune respon		doses still exist.
<ul> <li>https://www.sozialministerium.at/Coronal/Coronal-Schutzimptung/Corona-SchutzimptungPachintormationen.html (in German language).</li> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		The current = 10/8/22 AT immunisation strategy as recommended by the Austrian Immunisation Committee is available at this link
<ul> <li>No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.</li> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <u>https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</u></li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		https://www.sozialministerium.at/Corona/Corona-Schutzimpfung/Corona-SchutzimpfungFachinformationen.html (in German language).
<ul> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <a href="https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance">https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</a></li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>	Belgium	No information could be found regarding the cost-effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in Belgium.
<ul> <li>time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.</li> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <u>https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</u></li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>The decision to (re-)vaccinate particular groups should always be taken based on the available evidence that exists on protection at that moment in</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Belgium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <a href="https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance">https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</a></li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		time. This is what LINK-VACC is working on: it periodically reports to the CSS/HGR, Task Force Vaccination, etc., on the current protection that is still
<ul> <li>LINK-VACC: post-authorisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Beiglum - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine enectiveness and vaccine safety (including occurrence of breakthrough infections) <a href="https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance">https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance</a></li> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		offered against (severe) disease, per age group. This guides the decision making process on additional booster doses.
<ul> <li>Experts from the LINK-VACC not in favour to continue offering additional boosters to the whole population, if the current levels of vaccination still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		- LINK-VACC: post-authonisation surveillance of COVID-19 vaccines in Beigium - monitor vaccine uptake/coverage, vaccine effectiveness and vaccine
<ul> <li>Experts from the Envice vACC not in favour to continue oneming additional boosters to the whole population, in the current levels of vacchation still protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the elderly, people with underlying diseases), the risks are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		salety (including occurrence of breakthrough injections) https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects/linking-registers-covid-19-vaccine-surveillance
<ul> <li>Protect well against severe disease. For groups that are particularly vulnerable (the eldeny, people with underlying diseases), the fists are of course different, and the decision should be made separately</li> <li>People with lowered immunity</li> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		- Experts non the Environment of the average decision and the environment of the environm
People with lowered immunity - The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra		different and the decision should be made constrately vulnerable (the eldeny, people with underlying diseases), the lisks are of course
<ul> <li>The available data indicate that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra</li> </ul>		Decode with leverad impunity
vaccination course with 1 or 2 doses. Recent scientific data shows that in these immunocompromised patients, an additional dose of mRNA vaccine (currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra		The evolution of the infinite that persons with reduced immunity, caused by a certain condition or by treatment, may be less protected after primary.
(currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable patients for an extra		- The available data indicate that persons with reduced mining, caused by a certain condition of by treatment, may be less protected after primary vaccinations of uncertainty and an additional does of mPNA vaccinations and additional does of
		(currently Pfizer or Moderna) can improve the immune response. In Belgium, it was decided to invite these extra vulnerable nations for an extra
vaccination dose in order to optimize their protection against COVID-19. This concerns approximately 350,000 patients with		vaccination dose in order to optimize their protection against COVID-19. This concerns approximately 350,000 patients with



	- congenital immune disorders
	- chronic kidney dialysis
	<ul> <li>inflammatory diseases treated with immunosuppressants</li> </ul>
	- blood cancers or other malignant tumors, which are/or have been in active treatment in the past 3 years
	- pretransplant, stem cell transplant and organ transplant patients
	<ul> <li>HIV patients whose CD4 cell count is less than 200 per mm<sup>3</sup> of blood</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Down syndrome (they are added by the GP. More information: https://www.info-coronavirus.be/nl/vaccinatie-professionelen/#zorgverlener (in Dutch or French)</li> </ul>
	- who are being treated with certain biosimilars. For more information, see here, in French
	- Residents of nursing homes & care homes
	- Everyone who has been vaccinated with the Janssen vaccine
	- People of 65 years and older
	- Patients in assisted living apartments, day care centres, psychogeriatric facilities and psychiatric care homes
	You can find more information here: https://d34j62pglfm3rr.cloudfront.net/downloads/tekst-extraprik-september_EN.pdf
Croatia	Studies on cost-effectiveness has not been conducted or published in Croatia.
	• Existing evidence on cost-effectiveness was taken into account in decision-making and it is envisaged that it will play a role in further decisions. No restriction on vaccination for the general population is currently being discussed. Considering the lower level of interest. Maintaining vaccination is economically justifiable.
	• Priority groups are elderly people and groups with chronic diseases, for whom a recommendation for a second booster vaccination came out in August.
	For the rest of the population, the recommendation is for a 1st booster. Further adjustments or recommendations are currently being discussed.
Denmark	No vaccination is currently recommended for children, except for those at risk.
Estonia	<ul> <li>No information about classic cost-effectiveness analysis. Estonian Health Insurance Fund carried out matched cohort study to analyse COVID-19 costs of vaccinated and non-vaccinated individuals. Results show that without vaccination costs would have been 5.46 times higher. <a href="https://www.haigekassa.ee/sites/default/files/vaktsineerimise_moju.html">https://www.haigekassa.ee/sites/default/files/vaktsineerimise_moju.html</a> (in Estonian)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Different population groups have different targets on vaccination coverage. General goal of the population 12 years and older by the end of 2022 is 75%. The second booster is planned mainly for population 60+ (incl nursing homes) and healthcare/social care workers, but anyone in need or want could have a second booster. Vaccination is for free for everyone until the end of 2022, incl second boosters. <a href="https://sm.ee/covid-19-valmisolekukava-20222023-viirushooajaks">https://sm.ee/covid-19-valmisolekukava- 20222023-viirushooajaks</a></li> </ul>
Germany	• With regard to cost-effectiveness of vaccines, we plan to supplement our COVID-19 vaccination model with a health economic model part. However, this will not be implemented before 2023.
	• With regard to cost-effectiveness of vaccines, at least RKI has not conducted such evaluations so far
	<ul> <li>Since RKI has not conducted such evaluations so far, we cannot answer this question.</li> </ul>
Italy	Several studies on COVID vaccines and treatments efficacy have been implemented in Italy (most of them listed in the following web page of the Italian
	Medicines Agency https://www.aifa.gov.it/en/sperimentazioni-cliniche-covid-19). Fewer studies have been implemented on effectiveness of NPIs
	efficacy; most of them in collaboration with other countries (as example, Boone L, and Ladreit C. Fear of COVID and non-pharmaceutical interventions;
	An analysis of their economic impact among 29 advanced OECD countries. Covid economics: vetted and real-time papers. London: CEPR Press, ZDB-
	ID 3019632-22021, 73 (23.3.), p. 1-40; https://cepr.org/sites/default/files/publication-files/101425-covid_economics_issue_73.pdf); no specific studies
	on comparison of cost-effectiveness between vaccines and NPIs.
	• In Italy, with the Decree of the Head of the Civil Protection Department no. 371 of February 5, 2020, the Technical Scientific Committee (TSC) was
	established with expertise in consulting and supporting coordination activities for overcoming the epidemiological emergency, due to the spread of
	Coronavirus; the Committee is made up of experts and qualified representatives of the Bodies and Administrations of the State. All the health political



	decisions on the management of the COVID pandemic have been taken on the basis of the scientific and cost-effectiveness information provided by
	The Scientific Technical Committee met for the last time on March 30, 2022. It was dissolved following the cessation of the state of emergency Covid-
	19 but all the future health political decisions on the pandemic, including strategies on NPIs, continue to be adopted with the advice of the main
	health authorities (Ministry of Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italian Medicines Agency), which provide scientific and cost-effectiveness
	information, also on NPIs.
	• With a circular dated 11 July 2022, the Italian Ministry of Health recommended the administration of a second booster dose (or 4th dose) with mRNA
	vaccine to all people aged 60 and over, to all frail people of all ages, and to healthcare workers, as part of the vaccination campaign against SARS-
	CoV-2/COVID-19. The recommendation applies provided that a minimum interval of at least 120 days has passed since the first booster dose or the
	last infection following the booster (taking as a reference the date of the first positive swab). This gradual approach, which starts from the priority groups
	and, when necessary, is extended to the entire population, is based on the scientific information, but also takes into account the economic sustainability.
Ireland	· If/when the clinical advice on Covid vaccines stabilises and the future Covid vaccination programme options become clearer (i.e. what vaccines, which
	population cohorts, how often), the Department of Health in Ireland would typically commission a 'Health Technology Assessment' (HTA) through the
	Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA), to inform policy. A HTA is a multidisciplinary research process that collects and summarises information
	about a health technology. The information can cover a range of fields, including clinical effectiveness and safety, cost-effectiveness and budget impact,
	organisational and social aspects, and ethical and legal issues. The information is collected and presented in a systematic, unbiased and transparent
	manner.
	The health budget in Ireland is finite. To invest in a new technology means that it may be necessary to stop or reduce funding for another technology or
	service. To make that choice, it is important that accurate and reliable evidence is presented to support decision making. The goal of HTA is to provide
	that independent evidence. The use of HTA as a means of supporting health policy and reimbursement decisions is becoming increasingly common
	internationally.
	<ul> <li>Tretand made vaccine procurement decisions during a period when there was considerable uncertainty regarding which candidate vaccines would receive market authorization and whether all vaccines would confer similar levels of protection. Given the considerable impact that Covid was having at</li> </ul>
	that time on health, society and the economy, it was therefore considered prudent that a diversified vaccine portfolio was secured and be available to
	vaccinate the population. All Covid vaccine procurement decisions were made with full Covernment approval, and with full regard to balancing the
	risks/costs against the considerable unside benefits a successful vaccination programme would have on public health, society, and the economy
	Ireland has had among the most successful vaccination programmes in the world and more than 11 million doses have been administered since the
	programme commenced in December 2020 The success of the programme has been a key enabler in the substantial resumption of economic life
	enabling the unwinding of stringent public health measures and the cessation of Covid income support schemes.
	<ul> <li>There remains considerable uncertainty regarding the future trajectory of the disease and the level of vaccination required. The vaccination programme</li> </ul>
	continues to be predicated on independent clinical advice provided by the National Immunisation Advisory Committee (NIAC). The NIAC continues to
	advise that the programme retains the capability to vaccinate the entire population at short notice should this be deemed required. The vaccination
	programme has begun to transition towards a more sustainable model which will see general practice/pharmacy replace vaccination centres as the
	primary vaccination channels. This approach will reduce certain fixed expenditure and make the programme more cost effective. It will not be possible
	to make further significant cost reductions until the epidemiological situation and associated clinical advice has stabilised.
Malta	<ul> <li>There is no research on the cost-effectiveness of NPIs or vaccination in Malta.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>In terms of the impact of other studies on decision makers, these are not very large. The main parameters used as a basis for decision-making are the</li> </ul>
	hospitalisations of Covid-19 positive patients. The target is still to avoid a burden for the hospital as much as possible. That is the basis for the decisions.
	Ihe 60+ booster campaign is still ongoing. There may be a joint Covid-19 / Influenca campaign in the autumn.
Norway	• In Norway, there were two Corona Commissions that assessed the overall impact of the pandemic, including the cost-effectiveness of vaccination and
	INPIS. So there are no studies, but extensive assessments.
	• During the pandemic, there was also a committee that looked at the social impact. We tried to assess the impact of the geographical distribution of
	vaccines and set up a study centre for WHO on the impact of NPIs, mainly on impact but not on cost-effectiveness.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101018317

www.phiri.eu

Gesundheit Österreich

	• Vaccination status: From 1 September, there will be a booster vaccination for the age group 65-75. Also specific risk groups are included into the 4 <sup>th</sup>
	dose. The economy will bear future vaccinations, but it is still under discussion what the real benefit is for the lower age groups.
Poland	Guided by the concern for the public health of the Polish society, including the maintenance and strengthening of public trust in preventive vaccinations,
	preventive vaccinations are undoubtedly one of the most effective and efficient preventive measures, and the strongest weapon in preventing and
	combating infectious diseases and infections and preventing complications after passing through infectious diseases.
	Undertaking activities to promote this form of prevention by drawing attention to its importance in the protection of public health is important due to the
	fact that the knowledge about the occurrence of complications related to the disease of infectious diseases and the accompanying social awareness of
	the possibility of preventing infectious diseases through the use of vaccines is a crucial health issue.
	In Poland, vaccinations against Covid-19 are voluntary and available to the entire society aged 5+ (details on how to implement vaccination schedules
	are available publicly in the Announcements of the Minister of Health for both medical staff and patients).
	Vaccinations against COVID-19 are carried out on the basis of current official recommendations, which may be updated together with the decision of
	the European Medicines Agency regarding the dosing schedules for the preparations used in the implementation of vaccination.
	From April 20th 2022 the second booster dose of the COVID-19 vaccination is vaccinated in people aged 80+ (who have received the full primary
	vaccination schedule and the first booster dose with COVID-19 mRNA preparation).
	At the same time, patients with impaired immunity who take the additional dose, receive a booster dose of the COVID-19 vaccination 5 months after the
	additional dose. Taking into account the recommendations of the Team for Preventive Vaccinations and the temporary guidelines of the Center for
	Disease Control and Prevention (as of March 31, 2022), people vaccinated before hematopoletic cells transplantation (HC1) or CAR-1 therapy are
	subject to a repeated schedule of vaccination against COVID-19 after ≥3 months (12 weeks) from the indicated procedures or therapies.
	From August 17, 2022, the vaccination process began with a second booster dose for employees working in health care facilities, including pharmacies
	and pharmacy outlets, and for medical students who had received the full recommended primary vaccination schedule and a booster dose of COVID-19
	vaccines.
	Many scientific studies confirm that vaccines are the most cost-effective form of therapy. Cost-effectiveness analysis is used in health technology
	assessment and involves identifying, measuring, and comparing the costs and health outcomes of two or more medical interventions.
	anglusing apply to both programs and per phoregraphical intraverting including these based on i.e. information and duration
	activities training for medical personnel invention in tervention in a diven area
	a a lyme disease prevention program https://profibaza.pzb.gov.pl/sites/default/files/pliki/Archiwum/AOTMiT/Rekomendacie/2_2020.pdf
	Detailed information on the Recommendations to Health Policy Programs from the last three years and the list of positive Opinions of the President of
	AOTMIT on health policy programs in 2010-2021 are available at the following link: https://profibaza.pzh.gov.pl/AOTMIT
	This link includes a list of Local Government Preventive Health Programs: http://wwwold.aotm.gov.pl/index.php?id=209
	A few medical / preventive interventions carried out in the country are available from publicly available sources of information.
	1) Vaccinations against pneumococci. The experience of vaccinating both children and adults against pneumococci in national programs of local
	government units shows that the number of hospitalizations for pneumonia is drastically decreasing. A few years ago, it was clearly demonstrated by the
	preventive programs of the Kielce local government.
	2) Vaccine against HPV. HPV vaccination - prevents the consequences of the infection, i.e. neoplasms of oncogenic and non-oncogenic types, which
	significantly reduces the incidence and costs of anti-cancer therapies. The National Oncology Strategy for 2020-2030 states that HPV vaccinations will
	be reimbursed for girls in adolescence from 2021, and from 2026 they will also be reimbursed for boys in adolescence
	3) Influenza vaccination – this study compares the unit cost of a vaccine with the costs incurred by the healthcare system, indicating the cost-
	effectiveness of vaccines. https://bipold.aotm.gov.pl/assets/files/opz/2014/OP-167-2014.pdf
	4) Vaccinations against COVID-19 - the results of the "Analysis of the risk of death due to all causes and due to COVID-19 of vaccinated and
	unvaccinated people against COVID-19" (autors: dr n. przyr. Bogdan Wojtyniak, prof. NIZP PZH-PIB, dr n. med. Daniel Rabczenko, dr hab. n. o zdr.
	Grzegorz Juszczyk) indicate that the risk of deaths due to COVID-19 in unvaccinated people is much higher to those vaccinated against COVID-19,
	which justifies the decision about universal access to vaccination.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101018317

www.phiri.eu

Gesundheit Österreich

	The issue of cost effectiveness of vaccinations and NPIs is undertaken regularly on many conferences and seminars regarding the healthcare sector.
Portugal	There are no studies in terms of cost-effectiveness in Portugal.
Serbia	• In this moment, there are no planned studies related to the cost-effectiveness of vaccination and other non-pharmaceutical interventions, nor, as far as
	I know, have these data influenced decision-making.
	• As for the last question, given the current stock of vaccines at the national level, vaccines will still be available to the entire population, but if there is a
	limited availability of vaccines, e.g. vaccines adapted to new variants, the introduction of priority groups will certainly be considered in accordance with
	the recommendations of the Expert Committee on Immunization (NITAG).
Slovakia	• Not in sense of conventional cost-effectiveness analysis, the Ministry of Finnance prepared flash comparisons of certain interventions vs. vaccination,
	just for Top level. At the same time we compared the cost of treatment per COVID patient vs. Vaccination costs. In the course of 2021 the outcome for
	almost all age groups the vaacination returned cost-effectivity, with financial incentives included.
	• Not in the begining of pandemic, but later it became more frequent for politicians to compare costs vs. benefits. It was the case of mass testing, which
	was not so obvious in other countries.
	<ul> <li>The sustainability is irrelevant in Slovakia, as the estimated interest is far below the contracted volume of vaccines.</li> </ul>
	We already have an officcial opinion from the Public Health Authority that a seasonal vaccination is recommended to immunocompromised groups, to
	healthcare workers, employees and residents of senior facilities, and population of 55+.
Slovenia	There are no cost-effectiveness studies in Slovenia.
	Studies from other countries have not influenced decision-makers
	• Vaccinating the entire population is seen as economically sustainable. The major challenge is that a large proportion of the population is unwilling to be
	vaccinated. Priority groups are defined based on the vaccination strategy, such as the elderly or vulnerable people.
Spain	• Regarding COVID-19, currently in Spain there are not published any research about cost-effectiveness of vaccines but there have been published for
	other diseases like Human papiliomavirus (HPV) or Herpes Zoster:
	- The Spanish Network of Agencies for Assessing National Health System Technologies and Performance has published some documents with
	economic assessment of certain vaccines and vaccination strategies (i.e., Human papiliomavirus (HPV)): https://fedets.sanidad.gob.es/en/
	- The Ministry of Health published in March 2021 recommendations for herpes Zoster Vaccination including a section of economical assessment with
	unierent studies camed out in Spain. https://www.spridad.gob.as/profesionales/spludPublics/provPromocion/vacunacionas/programasDeV/acunacion/dees/HerpesZester, Pecomendacio
	Also there have been published different studies in terms of effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines carried out by two members of the PHIRI ISCIII team:
	- Effectiveness of mRNA vaccine boosters against infection with the SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B 1 1 529) variant in Spain: A nationwide cohort study
	(Monde Rojas-Benedicto Olmedo Mazagatos et al. 2022) It showed that the administration of hooster doses of the messenger RNA (mRNA)
	vaccine was associated with a 50% decrease in the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection during the Omicron period in Spain
	- Effectiveness of a Second Dose of an mRNA Vaccine Against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Omicron Infection
	in Individuals Previously Infected by Other Variants (Monge, Rojas-Benedicto, Olmedo, Martín-Merino, et al., 2022). It analysed the situation of people
	who had been vaccinated with only one dose because they had been previously infected before vaccination, during the same time period and using
	the same criteria as the previous paper. In this case, it was found that administering a second dose prevented 62% of omicron infections.
	- COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness against hospitalization due to SARS-CoV-2: A test-negative design study based on Severe Acute Respiratory
	Infection (SARI) sentinel surveillance in Spain (Mazagatos et al., 2022). This study presents COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness (VE) estimates in
	population aged 20 and older, between weeks 53/2020 and 39/2021, using a test-negative design and severe acute respiratory infection (SARI)
	data obtained from the SiVIRA sentinel hospital surveillance network, recently implemented in Spain. With an overall 89% VE against COVID-19
	hospitalization, results show high effectiveness of mRNA vaccines against severe COVID-19 and waning of protection with time since vaccination in
	those aged 80 or older. No substantial differences were observed between SARS-CoV-2 variants (Alpha vs Delta).



The working group of COVID 10 version affectiveness of the Ministry of Health have published different reports (lest in May	0000
https://www.sanidad.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/prevPromocion/vacunaciones/covid19/docs/Efectividad_VacunacionCOVID-	2022):
<u>19_Espana_EstScreening_5Informe.pdf</u>	
In general terms, the website of the Ministry of Health has a section focused on 'COVID-19 vaccination'.	
https://www.sanidad.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/prevPromocion/vacunaciones/covid19/vacunasCovid19.htm	
In the Website of the Carlos III Health Institute there is also a section on COVID-19 vaccination, which includes follow up reports on effectivene	ss and
impact of the different COVID-19 vaccines, as well as related scientific publications. Available in	
https://www.isciii.es/QueHacemos/Servicios/VigilanciaSaludPublicaRENAVE/EntermedadesTransmisibles/Paginas/VacunacionCOVID-19.aspx	<u> </u>
Regarding NPIs, two members of the PHIRI ISCIII team have published the article Assessing the effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions on	
COVID-19 transmission in Spain. 30 August 2020 to 31 January 2021 (García-García et al., 2022) but it doesn't include cost-effectiveness	
information. It measured the impact of NPIs on COVID-19 transmission in several different geographical regions in Spain from 30 August 2020	to 31
January 2021. NPIs implemented during the second wave of COVID-19 in Spain had a significant impact in the spread of the disease, with som	e
measures being more effective than others, including limited gatherings, mandatory closing times for nonessential businesses, and restricted or	utdoors
seating capacity.	
At this moment, there is no official information already published about this topic. Previous to COVID-19 pandemic, one study (Soler Soneira et	al.,
2020) was published in 2020 for describing the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through-out life in Spain, both in healthy and risk groups persons, following the cost of vaccination through between the cost of vaccination throu	he
national immunization programme throughout life agreed for 2019. The expected cost to immunize a healthy person is 726.06 euros for a	
healthy woman and 625.89 euros for a healthy man, ranging from 982.99 to 1,815 euros per person in risk groups.	
https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1135-57272020000100064	
References	
- Monge S Rojas-Benedicto A Olmedo C Mazagatos C José Sierra M Limia A Martín-Merino E Larrauri A Hernán M A Morr	no D
Menge, e., rojae Benedice, r., entede, e., mazagate, e., edee elera, m., zima, r., maran Menne, z., zaradn, r., herran, m. r., mere	lartínez
Marcos, M., Pastor Villalba, E., Cívicos Villa, N. (2022). Effectiveness of mRNA vaccine boosters against infection with the SARS-CoV-2 of	micron
(B.1.1.529) variant in Spain: A nationwide cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, S1473309922002924. https://doi.org/10.1016/	S1473-
3099(22)00292-4	
- Monge, S., Rojas-Benedicto, A., Olmedo, C., Martín-Merino, E., Mazagatos, C., Limia, A., Sierra, M. J., Larrauri, A., Hernán, M. A., IBEF	RCovid,
Moreno, D., Díaz, M. M., González, I. H., Truyols, A. G., Estévez, A. B., Velasco, V. G., Recio, M. J. R., Sacristán, J., Marcos, M. M., Villa	a, N. C.
(2022). Effectiveness of a Second Dose of an mRNA Vaccine Against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) C	micron
Infection in Individuals Previously Infected by Other Variants. Clinical Infectious Diseases, ciac429. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac429	
- Mazagatos, C., Delgado-Sanz, C., Monge, S., Pozo, F., Oliva, J., Sandonis, V., Gandarillas, A., Quiñones-Rubio, C., Ruiz-Sopeña, C., G	allardo-
García, V., Basile, L., Barranco-Boada, M. I., Hidalgo-Pardo, O., Vazquez-Cancela, O., García-Vázquez, M., Fernández-Sierra, A., Milagro-Bea	imonte,
A., Ordobás, M., Martínez-Ochoa, E., The SARI surveillance VE group in Spain. (2022). COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness against hospita	lization
due to SARS-CoV-2: A test-negative design study based on Severe Acute Respiratory Infection (SARI) sentinel surveillance in Spain. Influer	iza and
Other Respiratory Viruses, irv.13026. https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.13026	<b>D</b> ^
- Garcia-Garcia, D., Herranz-Hernandez, R., Rojas-Benedicto, A., Leon-Gomez, I., Larrauri, A., Penuelas, M., Guerrero-Vadillo, M., Ramis	6, R., &
Gomez-Barroso, D. (2022). Assessing the effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 transmission in Spain, 30 August 2021	0 to 31
January 2021. Eurosurveillance, 27(19). https://doi.org/10.2807/1500-7917.E5.2022.27.19.2100809 Seler Seneire, M.: Olmede Lucerén, C., Sénehez, Combrenere Cejude, L., Centere Cudine, E., Limis, Sénehez, A. (2020). El cente de versu	or o lo
- Soler Soheira, IVI., Olimedo Luceron, C., Sanchez-Gambronero Cejudo, L., Cantero Gudino, E., Limia Sanchez, A. (2020). El coste de Vacur	
largo de toda la vida en España. Rev Esp Salud Publica 94. 11 de lebrero ezozoozooz	
$\frac{110}{100000000000000000000000000000000$	



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101018317

www.phiri.eu

Gesundheit Österreich